

Thursday, January 18, 2018

ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER:

Mayor Flaute called the Riverside, Ohio City Council Meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. at the Riverside Administrative Offices located at 5200 Springfield Street, Suite 100, Riverside, Ohio, 45431.

ITEM 2: ROLL CALL:

Council attendance was as follows: Ms. Campbell, present; Mr. Curp, present; Mr. Denning, present; Ms. Fry, present; Mr. Fullenkamp, present; Mrs. Lommatzsch, present; and Mayor Flaute, present.

Staff present was as follows: Mark Carpenter, City Manager; Chris Lohr, Assistant City Manager; Tom Garrett, Finance Department; Brock Taylor, Planning and Program Management Department; Frank Robinson, Police Chief; Daniel Stitzel, Fire Chief; Jay Keaton, Service Department; Dalma Grandjean, Law Director; and Brenna Arnold, Clerk of Council.

ITEM 3: EXCUSE ABSENT MEMBERS:

All members were present.

ITEM 4: ADDITIONS OR CORRECTIONS TO AGENDA:

The agenda was revised prior to the start of the meeting.

Mr. Fullenkamp: Mr. Mayor, I'd like to before we start talking about capital projects talk about this sheet that I handed out just for about five minutes. It is part of the budget process. Mayor Flaute: It will be part of the capital projects budget? Mr. Fullenkamp: Right, but I would like to do it at the beginning of it, if I can. Mayor Flaute: I guess so. I don't see any reason why we can't. Is everyone agreeable to that? Okay.

There was no further discussion.

ITEM 5: APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to accept the agenda as revised and submitted. Mr. Fullenkamp seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

ITEM 6: WORK SESSION ITEMS:

A) Presentation: Verizon Cell Tower

Mr. Taylor: Thank you Council and Mr. Manager. We will go over this presentation really quick. I have Doug Bartsch with us. He is with LAB Development. He's representing Verizon Wireless on this. I will go through the presentation and if you have any questions, Doug or I will be more than happy to answer them.

Basically, this is just a quick rundown of information. This is typical stuff. If we were to go down this path, some of these things might be a little different. Typically this is what a lease agreement looks like. It is a 30-year lease with a 5-year initial contract and then 5 years after that. The tower height really depends on location. The original location that their engineers wanted was down by Wright Patt where that empty parking lot is where they do the carnival and then there is a big billboard. That would be the perfect location, but of course Wright Patt and the federal government don't want that on their installation. They are looking for property elsewhere and they can't go north because you have the railroad and the Wellfield and then you have those operations back on the other side of the railroad tracks. Moving that in a southwest direction down Springfield Street, we have identified about two parcels that we own

Thursday, January 18, 2018

and then there is a third parcel they have identified that would work for them. That tower height depends on where it is. The further away they are from there the higher their tower needs to be. Mr. Curp: Further away from where? Mr. Taylor: Further away from the perfect location that they have. Mr. Curp: I thought you said market. Further away from the market. Mr. Taylor: Over by Wright Patterson where they do the carnival. Mr. Curp: I know where that's at. Mr. Taylor: The further away they are, the taller it needs to be. Typically they only need a small footprint, 30 X 40 is the largest. That holds their equipment; there is usually a fence around it. You have seen them before; there is one behind Airway Shopping Center. What we talk about in leasing and the way the agreement works, over a 30-year period you can make about \$500,000.00 over the 30 years. That is about \$30,000.00 in annual revenue from your lease for this 1,200 square foot.

Mr. Curp: That's gross? Mr. Fullenkamp: That's about \$15,000.00-20,000.00. Mr. Curp: That's gross? So if you are building some concealment stuff and you take off the \$500,000.00 and you divide that by 30 years? Mr. Taylor: Yep. Mr. Curp: So you might get \$5,000.00. Mr. Taylor: Maybe. You will get a structure, so that's the tradeoff. If they put money into what the structure looks like, that lease payment they are going to pay us goes down. You have seen that presentation, so you can see there are some different concealment options there.

First, I want to talk about the locations. We were contacted last quarter in October of last year and we were kicking around some ideas of where this might best be. I originally thought and I will go to this slide first, we own this. It is a large patch and I was thinking we could just put a cell tower right in the back, not do any concealment, and go for it. In talking with the City Manager and Mr. Murray, we thought we were missing an opportunity here where we could do something maybe a little different to try to market this area which would bring us to this point here. We were talking about place making grants and trying to make a statement. This little peninsula here, there really isn't much use for it and we are not going to be able to put a business there. It's small and parking is going to be difficult, so when we looked at this we thought maybe we could do some sort of feature here that would disguise that tower and make a landmark feature in the Center of Flight. In those two areas, those are the options of the property that we own. Of course, the further south we get, the taller the tower is going to be. We talked about the DANIS property and we talked about some other properties on the other side and it really just becomes unfeasible for them, so this is why these two properties were picked.

Here are some examples of some of the stealth concealments. There is an example right here in Fairborn. It is really not concealment. They have the jet and Fairborn. Everyone knows where that is, some people like it and some people don't. Again on the left, you get an idea of how you could do a real concealment. Here is a large sign with a digital message board in it. This is probably next to a major thoroughfare and a car dealership, but again it is housing a cell tower in here. Some of the ones we thought were more approachable or more thoughtful for what we are talking about is making a statement like a clock tower or something similar to this. If we were to move forward with this and it is something Council would want to do, we would want to figure out what kind of statement we want to make, what kind of structure we are going to want, what does that cost, and what does that mean for our lease. There is a lot to go on here and we are really at the beginning of this. What he is looking for is are those two locations desirable, is this something Council wants to move forward with and proceed and see if we can make this work. Then decide on a concealment option or if we just want to walk away and look for a different location. That's the basic gist of this. It is really simple and we are at the very beginning stages and so I'm just looking for feedback. Doug and I are here to answer any questions.

Mr. Curp: Why are we taking a position that this piece of land is not developable or that we can't do something commercially with this piece of property? Are you familiar with the Flat Iron District in New York? Mr. Taylor: I've never been in New York. Mr. Curp: That's not my question. You are a follower of the arts. My question is, are you familiar with the Flat Iron District in New York? Mr. Taylor: No. Mr. Curp: The Flat Iron District is a section that is anchored by a large, multi-use building with multiple stories. It is multi-use, residential and commercial on the bottom floor and it is triangular in shape. It fits right at the point where two streets in New York come

Thursday, January 18, 2018

together. They found a way to make that usable. We see that replicated, not only in New York City and other parts of the city, but we see it replicated in a lot of cities throughout the United States. When I was in Europe over the summer, there were a lot of cities that had buildings such as this. The irony is we go back as far as old architecture and put together a layout of possible development scheme for this area, the Center of Flight, and lo and behold that is one of the things they identified was a triangular shaped building for that area. We haven't dealt with our old architecture in this area for years and years and years. I'm just concerned about why we take a view that a parcel of land with an odd shape isn't really prime for commercial development. That aside, I'm not sure I understand why we would want to put a cell tower, whether it is the round one or the typical one most see or something that is hidden in a sign concealment or something like that in the front yard of the people in that residential area. I have difficulty getting passed that.

Mr. Fullenkamp: At that location at the triangle, what would the height be required?
Mr. Bartsch: To be determined. Mr. Fullenkamp: You have a rough idea. Mr. Bartsch: I do. The original design point that Brock was talking about was up at that narrow parking lot and of course that is federal property and they said to go away, which they have always said. At that time, we pushed it south for a couple of reasons. My best guess at this point, which is pretty accurate, would be in the 100 foot range plus or minus. As far as a cell tower goes, they are getting shorter and shorter. Mayor Flaute: That one in Fairborn that you have, how tall is that? Mr. Bartsch: I don't know whose tower that is. That tower is probably 190 feet, it's huge. Mayor Flaute: And you only want to go 100? Mr. Bartsch: Don't quote me on that. It won't be 190 and it won't be 50. By the way, the site that we did, Verizon, it has been 5-6 years ago behind Airway Shopping Center. Mayor Flaute: How tall is that one? Mr. Bartsch: It is 150. We are talking about 2/3 the height of that.

Mr. Curp: That is your tower behind Airway Shopping Center? Mr. Bartsch: It is a Verizon owned tower. Mr. Curp: Did you work with City officials on that? Mr. Bartsch: We did the zoning on that. Mr. Fullenkamp: But that was on private property. Mr. Bartsch: That's correct. Mr. Curp: Did you get a variance? Mr. Bartsch: Probably. It is tucked against the back corner there. Mr. Curp: I know where it is at. Mr. Bartsch: Yeah. I don't recall. We probably did a height variance because it is certainly tucked into the edge of the property there. Mr. Curp: Our Zoning Code says you can't be that close to a residential property. Mr. Bartsch: I'd have to go back and look at the records because I don't recall.

Mr. Fullenkamp: What are we zoned here right now? Mr. Taylor: Those parcels we have highlighted are B-2, which is proper zoning. Mr. Fullenkamp: So let's look at these concealment options. On a 100 foot tower, which you are saying is approximately what we are going to have, what is the cost to conceal? Mr. Bartsch: It depends. There are literally 100's of choices. Mr. Fullenkamp: I'm using one of these as an example; one of these clock towers. Mr. Bartsch: \$500,000.00. Verizon covers that cost. Mr. Fullenkamp: We wouldn't get any revenue from it if it were \$500,000.00. Mr. Bartsch: We would pay you a ground rent number. Mr. Fullenkamp: I thought the calculation for how much we received was whatever the base rent is. Mr. Bartsch: Verizon will pay you a ground rent to lease that piece of ground. That number is fluid depending on how much that capital number is going to be invested in the structure. Let me give you an example. Maybe \$800.00-1,000.00 a month for that 30-year term, so you are talking \$15,000.00-18,000.00 a year, but if the cost of the structure is just exorbitant based upon your demands then we might want to adjust that rent number down. It wouldn't be like we are just plopping in a stealth structure with a cell tower in it for no revenue. That's not realistic either. Does that make sense? Mr. Fullenkamp: I understand what you are saying. We are just not very solid. We don't know right now. Mr. Bartsch: That's okay.

Mr. Fullenkamp: But right now we know the top end it about \$500,000.00 over 30 years is what I'm hearing. Mr. Bartsch: The capital expense Verizon would cover to build the structure. Mr. Fullenkamp: That's what the lease says \$500,000.00 is the approximate over 30 years. Mr. Bartsch: It would be structured as a monthly payment or an annual payment and it is set up on 5-year terms to automatically renew. If it was \$1,000.00 a month. Mr. Fullenkamp: If we didn't want any concealment, we would get \$500,000.00 over 30 years. Mr. Bartsch: You would get about \$1,000.00 a

Thursday, January 18, 2018

month. Mr. Fullenkamp: \$12,000.00. Mr. Bartsch: Over 30 years, this is about \$500,000.00. It escalates reasonably each year.

Mr. Fullenkamp: Now I want to go to and maybe you can't answer this question, Mr. Taylor, but what is the impact on the value of the lots in Center of Flight? Mr. Taylor: I think that is a good question and I don't know the answer. Sitting there with them vacant now is certainly not helping the value of the properties. Mr. Fullenkamp: Does a cell tower help the value of the properties? Mr. Taylor: An unconcealed cell tower could possibly be a deterrent to property values and what that cost is, I don't know. Especially if it is residential, nobody wants to live next to a cell tower. Mr. Fullenkamp: That's just it. Look at what we are looking at here in this triangle; it is right in a residential area. Would you want to live with a cell tower in your front yard? Mr. Taylor: No. Mr. Fullenkamp: Thanks.

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: What's it do to the residential properties? I don't care what the medical people want to tell you or Verizon or anybody else, I can't believe it is not dangerous. Mr. Bartsch: You mean from the radiation. Is that what you mean? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Just from everything we are learning now. Mr. Bartsch: Verizon, like all the carriers. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I'm not saying they are any different from any of the others. I'm just saying I don't want it in front of my house. I have watched the non-coverage of the tower behind Airway Shopping Center. There were trees put there, but I will be dead and gone before those trees ever hide that tower. It is slow growing green and it doesn't hide much. Mr. Bartsch: Those are valid concerns and health concerns. The omissions issues are all governed by the FCC and it is really low powered stuff. If that is your opinion, I hear you. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: What does that mean? I don't want to talk to the constituents that live over there and tell them that we are putting a cell tower in their front yard.

Mr. Curp: I want to go back to the need. I assume there is a reason for looking at that area. Who is it we are trying to service? Mr. Bartsch: Verizon's engineers' primary objective for this site is coverage and capacity for the Air Force Base and the Museum. Mr. Fullenkamp: Well, let them put it on their property. Mr. Bartsch: They can't get it on and that's why we are looking outside that property. They won't entertain that at all and never have. That's why they have towers ringing the Base. There would obviously be a benefit for this area as well, but as you know these sites are getting shorter and closer together.

Mr. Curp: I just want to direct your attention. You are from the Cincinnati area? Mr. Bartsch: By the way, we have a flat iron building in Downtown Cincinnati as well. Mr. Curp: Yes, you do. I've been downtown many times. Over at the intersection here of Woodman Drive and Airway Road, on the Base property is a U.S. Army Reserve facility. Behind that is a sanitary sewer, overflow station of some sort. It is to help deal with the situations where storm water and that sort of stuff gets into sanitary sewer and causes backups. You get storms and you have a great use of the storm sewers and water gets in there and you get a backup in people's basements. This station is put there in order to help deal with that. That's some other entity; it is not a federal thing. It was put there by Montgomery County with the cooperation of the Base. If you go down the street a little bit further along Airway, you get to military housing and they have leased the military housing out to a private entity to manage that for them, for the Base. My point here is if the Base wants to do it, they will do it and get it done. If they want to have additional cell service for dealing with their needs, they will find a way to get it done. I just don't think this is where it needs to be. Mr. Bartsch: Maybe you can make that happen for us then because we have not been successful in doing that.

Mayor Flaute: First of all, I guess if we would put anything in this triangle I don't think it would be like that. I don't really think that would be appropriate there, but if it could be like a water fountain. You see when you are going into Beavercreek they have some really cool signs. Maybe put a tower behind one of those signs. I'm not sure it would be that ugly or horrible. I'm not seeing it that bad. Mr. Bartsch: If you stealth it properly, and these are just two examples of 100's, whatever your mind can imagine you can design to be a hidden structure to hide antennas. Mayor Flaute: The only problem is we don't know what is going there. My question is if we leave that, would you guys put something in there where you could move it? Deputy Mayor

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Lommatzsch: It is a 30-year contract. Mayor Flaute: You can't do that? Alright. Well, at DP&L we could do stuff like that. Mr. Bartsch: Once it's in, we can't move the tower.

Mayor Flaute: You said that the further away you get, the higher it goes. Mr. Bartsch: From the original design criteria, which was down the street at that narrow parking lot. Mayor Flaute: And you said the DANIS property was too far away. I'm thinking if you could go 180 feet, why couldn't you put that on the DANIS property? Mr. Taylor: That property is even further south. Mayor Flaute: It is just on the other side of Harshman Road. It's only another 500 feet at most. Mr. Bartsch: The tolerance on these cell towers, I've been doing this for a long time, is tight. As we move farther away from the design point, it impacts adjacent sites that are already on the air and it comes to a point where if you push too far towards existing sites. Mayor Flaute: Even if you go higher it is not going to help? Mr. Bartsch: That would be worse. When we talked to the engineers about moving down from the original design point to the City properties, and we were actually talking to another property, they said that is as far as we can go. What about putting antennas on top of this building here? The engineer said that the tolerance of moving these from the original design are very narrow now and it doesn't give us on the acquisition side a lot of options. The good news is the areas we try to site those sites are small, but it reduces the number of available property owners that are willing to do it. Does that make sense? It's just moving too far away from the design point to where the engineers will accept it.

Mayor Flaute: Mr. Taylor, are there any other lots that don't have residential folks? I'm thinking about where we tore down that building that was a car thing. What's the name of that street? Mr. Denning: The Fair building. Mayor Flaute: There are no residents on that street. Mr. Taylor: They are all right in that area where it is mixed commercial and mixed residential. Mayor Flaute: There are no streets there that don't have any residential folks on it? Mr. Taylor: I believe that is correct. Mayor Flaute: I'm thinking that even down by where you work, Mike, isn't there a street or an area that does not have residential folks? Mr. Denning: There is residential right across the street. We had the house right across the street. Mr. Taylor: If you look at this lot right here, this is B-2 and this one right here is also B-2. That's zoned commercial, that's a B-2 lot, and that is 5717 Huberville. They have been approached by Verizon to put a tower back in here. Mayor Flaute: So 1517 owns that whole lot? Mr. Taylor: Yep. Mayor Flaute: And they didn't want a tower? Mr. Bartsch: They are interested. Mayor Flaute: They are interested? Okay.

Mr. Bartsch: We try to work with the municipalities first for a lot of reasons. It makes more sense and it gives you the opportunity for the revenue. That site is actually closer to the design point. It is commercially zoned. We may have some setback issues depending on the final height of the structure, but obviously we want to get it tucked back with the landlord's permission back to the railroad tracks. Mayor Flaute: That's where I would want you to put it. You've got 1530 coming out. Mr. Denning: Across Edna, there are at least two properties over there that are residential. Mr. Taylor: We can't stop that. I can't remember what this distance is, but it was pretty hefty. On this map, it is hard to tell distance here. I believe this was over 200 feet because when we looked at this, an 80 foot to 100 foot structure could fit there within our Zoning Code, which would be a regular cell tower that we have to approve through our Zoning Code unless it needs a variance. If it needed a height variance, it would go through the BZA and the BZA would have a decision on that.

Mr. Curp: Are cell towers not conditional uses in this zoning category? They are not permitted uses, they are conditional uses. Mr. Taylor: I would have to look again and take them to Planning Commission and then if they would need a variance, they would go to BZA. There are steps there. Mr. Curp: I don't know the exact language in the Zoning Code, but it does say that the tower needs to be of such a height that if it were to fall over, it would not land on a residential dwelling. Mr. Taylor: Its setback is its height. If it is 80 feet, it needs to be 80 feet from the property line of a residential or business. Mayor Flaute: I don't think that would fit on there at 5517. Would it? Okay.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Mr. Bartsch: Just a point. I'm not a structural engineer, but I pretend like I am sometimes. These towers, these monopole towers we are talking about here with a guide tower with wires and three legs. We are talking about the same style that is behind Airway, but much shorter. These things are designed structurally that if they fail, they are designed to buckle within their own footprint. A 100 foot tower falling over like this doesn't happen, it doesn't happen. If it were to fail, like if it got struck by a tornado, it would fail at a design point that they can actually design and once that thing buckles the stress is gone, so if you see a monopole that has failed, it doesn't fall like that, it buckles at a pre-designed point and falls within the footprint of its lease area. The chances of it falling the full 100 feet or 80 feet or 120 feet or whatever it is just won't happen. Mr. Taylor: But that's how our Code is written. Mr. Bartsch: Right and part of our documentation would be all the structural analysis, tower design drawings, and a letter from the engineer that states essentially what I just said.

Mayor Flaute: Okay, the price is very negotiable on this, right? Are you not set at anything? Mr. Bartsch: We are not set on price. There are paying points, obviously, but we don't have enough information to finalize business terms. As Brock said, it is a long term lease and it is a good revenue opportunity for land that may not otherwise be monetized. Mayor Flaute: I just don't want to miss an opportunity because these examples I don't think are the best examples. I would like to see something like a sign like Beaver Creek even has along Dayton-Xenia Road by the Post Office; that's beautiful sign. There are waterfalls and things you can do. Mr. Bartsch: I'm not sure where you got your photos, but we deal with a company called Stealth Structures. We could actually set up a meeting with those folks. You can actually go to their website and they have libraries on their website. Like I said, whatever you can think of they can design. It is pretty amazing.

Ms. Fry: So right now we are talking about an empty space. Mr. Curp had suggested we may want to use that space for other uses. Let's say we decided to do some sort of concealment design in that space, but we figure out a use for that land and maybe that particular design isn't as functional. Would we be able to redesign the concealment at some point? Mr. Bartsch: Anything is possible. Mr. Fullenkamp: What would it cost? Mr. Bartsch: Once these things are sited and turned on, then the network works in conjunction with all the other sites and any change to that affects the whole network. Anything is possible, but I have never done a site that we have changed.

Ms. Fry: The second question I have is after the 30 years, what do we expect to happen at that point in time? Mr. Bartsch: Well the lease would continue on a month to month or year to year basis, depending on how that language is negotiated in the lease. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: If there are even cell phones. Mr. Bartsch: If the lease terminates and Verizon didn't need the site anymore because we are using alien technology or whatever, there is standard formal language that Verizon is responsible for taking everything down and getting it out of there down to the foundation. Mayor Flaute: But you would leave our sign? Mr. Bartsch: We can negotiate all of that.

Ms. Fry: Then who would be responsible for the repairs to the concealment structure? Mr. Bartsch: That Verizon. Mayor Flaute: Would you need a driveway to get there or something? Mr. Bartsch: Yeah, there would need to be 24/7 access to the site for the technicians who come in and might be onsite once a month to tweak their little transmitters inside. Mayor Flaute: I'm not worried about that. I'm worried about how ugly would look. Mr. Denning: It's right next to a road. Mayor Flaute: I know, but they would have to drive back to get inside that. Mr. Bartsch: It would be a 12 foot to 15 foot road, but if you wanted asphalt or gravel we can do whatever you want there. If it was a 1,000 foot road, we would want to use gravel. We wouldn't want to put asphalt for 1,000 feet.

Mr. Taylor: What we kicked around with staff for example, if we were to move forward, we would do some sort of clock tower even though that is probably not what we would do. We would want to tie this in with a place making grant, so we would have this tower or this structure with whether it is a sign and we would want to do a beautification project on that peninsula with some landscaping or maybe pavers and those pavers would maybe provide access to your structure. Then probably at the

Thursday, January 18, 2018

base of the structure, up in the structure, you could hide your equipment. I think Ron was telling us you can put your equipment either on the ground, underground, or you could even conceal it up higher. Mr. Bartsch: The antenna would need to be up in the air obviously. Mr. Taylor: But you could move some of that equipment so you would have that base. Mayor Flaute: So I guess that is not an issue.

Mr. Bartsch: I'm not saying either one of these sites for our engineers, whether it is your peninsula site here or the bigger lot that is back on Huberville, I think either of those would work for our engineers. Remember the ground footprint is not all that big, 40 X 40 and maybe a couple thousand square feet. It's not like it is taking up the whole parcel here. Even if there were some future development plans where you site this thing, and it is hard to project what you might want two years or five years from now I get that. That's going to have to be something you guys decide. Mayor Flaute: I just think we could possibly put up a really nice sign of some sort. Mr. Bartsch: That's something you guys need to think about. Mayor Flaute: How tall are some of those? That sign going down 35 as you are getting into Beavercreek that is probably 50-60 feet tall. It has a television on it and all of that and I don't care about that, but that sign looks nice. It really looks good and if you can get 50-60 feet tall and you've got another 40 feet of a tower sticking out. Mr. Bartsch: We need to get the Stealth guy in here. He does this every day and he could make some recommendations for you that you might like or you might not. My message tonight is whatever you can think of, they can probably do in terms of some sort of stealth structure: bell towers, clocks, it's pretty crazy.

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Who maintains that significant structure? Mr. Bartsch: Verizon. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: What if a car hits it? We have people concerned about things being in the way of a car. Mr. Bartsch: There are insurance policies.

Mr. Denning: What I read here was that the lease was renewable every five years or something like that? Mr. Bartsch: The standard lease agreement is set up on five year terms that are automatically renewed. Mr. Denning: Here is my question. Let's say we did say yes and then five years from now somebody has come up with something like New York City or something like that where they want that corner and we want to stop. Mr. Bartsch: It goes with the land. We don't want it to go away. IF you tell us upfront that you want to cancel this lease in five years or have the option to cancel in five years, we are not going to enter into that agreement. Mr. Denning: Well than I don't understand why the five year lease is there. Oh, because if technology changes and you are using UAV's. Mr. Bartsch: I've been doing this for decades and there are a lot more towers, not less. The good news is they are getting much, much smaller. Mr. Denning: Just like satellite dishes did. They were 10 feet pointing at the sky and now. Mr. Bartsch: It's amazing. I'm getting so old now. I might not see all of this, but you guys have heard 5G is the next thing coming, driverless cars, your refrigerator tells you when to buy ketchup. All of this stuff is going through wireless networks. Mr. Denning: So these five year increments is solely on Verizon's side, not on our end? Mr. Bartsch: We've done 10-year initial terms. We want the site for a long time, 25 year minimum.

Mr. Fullenkamp: Are these towers connected to fiber? Mr. Bartsch: Yeah, we will run fiber to the site. Mr. Fullenkamp: There will be fiber to the tower. Mr. Bartsch: There is so much data that passes through now; it has got to be fiber. Verizon has actually got some of their own fiber businesses now. Mr. Fullenkamp: Because satellites aren't going to be the solution. There's not enough bandwidth. Mr. Bartsch: Right, so they will run fiber to the site which is unlimited bandwidth essentially and they will run their own power and will have their own meter. They cover all the utilities. Mr. Fullenkamp: So the fact that the State kind of kabashed some of this for the small antennas on City infrastructure and telephone poles? Mr. Bartsch: That is a different group. Mr. Fullenkamp: That won't play into a network like this? Mr. Bartsch: No. That's a different group. The small cell group may approach. I don't know. Have they talked to you about small cell stuff in your right of ways? I'm not involved with that. Mr. Fullenkamp: Okay, so they are independent. They are two technologies. Mr. Bartsch: Yeah. Mr. Fullenkamp: So your technology is kind of independent from that probably similar wifi-ish. Mr. Bartsch: They work together. What we are talking about is a macro cell site and what you are talking about are

Thursday, January 18, 2018

small cells and they are typically on 30 foot poles and they have one little canister antenna. They are very limited and they are really designed for residential areas. They are putting these things in the right of ways, which you control. Those are coming too and they are coming. Mayor Flaute: I don't know. Mr. Bartsch: That's a different thing you guys will need to talk about with your right of ways.

Mayor Flaute: Ms. Campbell, do you have any opinion on this or what do you think? Ms. Campbell: You said 1,000 square feet? Mr. Bartsch: We will say 40 feet by 40 feet maximum. What is that 1,600? Ms. Campbell: I was just thinking how much of that land tax it would be sitting on. Mr. Bartsch: Well there is language in the lease that should the real estate taxes increase due to the improvements that would be attributed to the wireless facility, Verizon would cover that. Does that make sense? Depending on the County, is Montgomery County aggressive with that? They probably are.

Mayor Flaute: Okay, Mr. Taylor. What do you need from us tonight? Mr. Taylor: I kind of need direction. You can discuss this later, but basically what we need is direction. Do we want to continue using time to talk with Doug and Ron about pursuing these sites or pursuing either a tower of some sort of Stealth concealment tower or do you basically want us to tell him to find another site? Mayor Flaute: Well, I'm feeling a mix here. Mr. Fullenkamp: I think we need to discuss it more independently to decide what we want to use that land for and whether that is a proper usage in that neighborhood. Mayor Flaute: So bring it back into the work session in about a month? Mr. Fullenkamp: Yeah, that gives us a chance to think about it also. Mayor Flaute: Plus, I would like to see some cool ideas of what we can have. Mr. Fullenkamp: If they want to bring us some stuff, they can. I think primarily we have this usage issue and that is a development area for us. Whether that suits what visions we may have for the Center of Flight and for that residential neighborhood, those are the things I haven't decided on yet. Mr. Bartsch: What is the Center of Flight? Mayor Flaute: That's the area there. Mr. Fullenkamp: That's what we call that area and that hotel to the east. The whole section from Harshman down to that section.

Mayor Flaute: Mike and Brenda, do you want to keep the conversation going? Mr. Denning: I guess I'm not thrilled with putting a cell tower in front of all these folks house because I sure wouldn't be happy with that. I think it limits our future possibilities and marketability of that whole area. Before we get into how we are going to conceal it, I think we need to have a serious discussion on whether or not we even want it because it is going to be a waste of a lot of people's time if we say how are you going to conceal it, but you've got four of the seven that are leaning toward not doing it at all. I just don't want to see anyone waste their time. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Or staff time. Mr. Denning: I just think we need to discuss if that is really something. If we really didn't own that property, if we didn't physically own it, we wouldn't even be talked to. They would be talking to the private property owners. I understand that. I'm not real interested in putting that tower there. Mayor Flaute: Alright.

Mr. Denning: I'd be interested in putting a really cool sign. My other concern is that is right on the flight path for the Base. Are they concerned about that at all? Mr. Bartsch: We are one of the most regulated industries. The FAA has cleared us. Mr. Denning: We had issues where people couldn't even build. We had issues with the hotel being over a certain height and it couldn't be over three stories or something. Now you are going to be taller than that. I'm just a little concerned. Mr. Bartsch: That is all depending FAA approval. Mr. Denning: I understand that, so that's my point. If all of a sudden we say yes, we get it and all the sudden the FAA says no then everything goes out the window anyway. Mr. Bartsch: We think we can get it done. We don't think we can get a 300 footer, but we think based upon our knowledge and we have done pre-studies. Mr. Denning: I've seen some planes come down where I have seen the pilots when they come in.

Mr. Curp: There might be some zoning restrictions that Wright Patterson has for the approach area. Mr. Denning: Right and that's what I'm concerned about. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Well, that's why they wouldn't let them go into the parking lot. Mr. Fullenkamp: I don't think that is true, but Mr. Curp made an important point

Thursday, January 18, 2018

before. A couple of years ago, the Base was actively pursuing people to lease property to put buildings up, to put structures up, and I think it might depend on how you approach it. Maybe address it in terms of that recent effort to get companies to come in and have long term 100 year leases to do things. Now again, if this means revenue for the City you guys know how I feel about getting revenue for the City, but I'm a little concerned about these two locations that the City owns and I would prefer it to be pushed down that way. That's starts impacting less residential properties and kind of pushes it away from them a little bit. I would prefer, while I like the idea of concealment, I don't like the location of this one and if we were forced to put it on one of these two properties, I would stick it at the back toward the railroad tracks. That would be my preference.

Those are my concerns and I don't know what the Base has told you, I don't know who has reached out to the Base to come to a resolution or if you have got any contacts there. Mr. Bartsch: Yeah, we have contacts. Mr. Fullenkamp: And they have just flat said no way. Mr. Bartsch: There are other groups with Verizon that are doing stuff inside buildings, so we have talked to the people that we need to talk to we think. We've got inside people at Verizon that are telling us to go away, our own clients. This is something that just came up. We've done sites around the Base for years and years and they have never. They've tried to stop us from doing sites that weren't on the Base. We did a site over in the township 5-6 years ago and they tried to stop that. Mr. Fullenkamp: Okay, so maybe there's not potential in that. Mr. Bartsch: I think there are other issues, like security issues. Mr. Denning: The other issue that they need to be concerned about is your tower is there all the time, but you don't have people there all the time. Anybody could climb up that tower and put something on there, if they wanted to bad enough. Their concern may be security for the Base than anything else. They put the fence across 444 because of that.

Mayor Flaute: Brenda, what are your thoughts? Ms. Fry: The question of if I lived in that house, would it bother me in the triangle? It wouldn't. I don't have that gut reaction, but I would like to understand when this has gone in other neighborhoods what affect that has on the property values. If this is truly something that beautifies it and makes it more attractive, is it possible that it makes property values go up? Mr. Bartsch: Here is my opinion on that, which is just my opinion. When you call the County Auditor, which I have done in Montgomery County and many other counties, and asked what they do with residential real estate values when there is a cell tower in the view shed. It's not part of their formula. Real estate values are based on sales primarily. There is no process in Montgomery County to add value or deduct value from residential real estate appraisals based upon a nearby cell tower. It is based upon what the house sells for. We use real estate experts all the time in zoning meetings for that area and our real estate experts always say there really is no correlation between reductions in real estate values. In fact I'm biased; I want my phone to work. I want my smart phone to work. My kids want my smart phone to work and the closer they are to a tower the better their phone works. I'm not concerned about that tower's radiation. I'm concerned about this phone next to my head. The closer this is to a cell phone site, the less it has to use that battery. The stealth structure, once it is built, no one will even know it is a cell tower. They won't know. Of course, once we get to that point we will have hearings and public comments and it will be interesting to see exactly what the residents say. Some would like it and some wouldn't. I think we are getting to a point, and again my opinion, these things have been a lightning rod for a long time because people understand that it is a necessary utility and a necessary infrastructure. You can't even apply for a job now without internet access. Half of the homes don't even have landlines anymore, right?

Mayor Flaute: I am getting a feeling we want to have a little further discussion on this. Mr. Curp: I don't need any further discussion. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I don't need any. I think it is a lot of staff time. We've got a lot of work to do in this city and I don't see that the income from this over 30 years, I don't see that it is going to impact our budget significantly. Mayor Flaute: Right, but I've got 2 or 3 people saying they want more information before we say no. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: That's fine. Mr. Denning: I think you just hit it on the head with before we say no. Mayor Flaute: I didn't mean it like that. Mr. Denning: I would suggest that they look at their other options more closely. We may have more internal discussions, but if

Thursday, January 18, 2018

you have private properties in the area I would chase those a little harder. Mr. Bartsch: We have learned our lesson long ago when we don't go to jurisdictions and they ask why we didn't talk to them first. Mr. Denning: It's a lot easier to get one person to say yes or no than it is to get four out of seven to say yes.

Mayor Flaute: Mr. Manager, we are going to wait for you to come back and see if he is successful in finding something else. If not, we will have another short work session on this item to see if we can come to some kind of agreement. Mr.

Carpenter: In the meantime, Council will have discussion to let me know if even to bring anything back. Mayor Flaute: Don't put it on another work session until we hear that they are completely out of options and then Council would request some information we need and you can ask the City Manager and then we will have a short discussion on that.

Mr. Curp: Are you interested in having a cell tower or a large concealment sign in that location, Mr. Denning? Mr. Denning: No, not really. Mr. Bartsch: Again, the other parcel will work too. It doesn't have to be the triangle. It could be the other parcel back by the tracks. That would work and it doesn't have to be in the triangle. Just keep that in mind. Mr. Curp: That's the other residential neighborhood street. Mr. Denning: That's our concern. It's so heavily residential. That's probably the biggest concern. Mr. Bartsch: And they may love it. My internet is flying now. Mr. Denning: And they may all use Sprint and don't care. Mr. Bartsch: With these sites, you could put Sprint on there if you would like. It's not just Verizon. You can co-locate additional carriers. That's one other thing as well; you have to make them co-locatable as well. Mr. Denning: I got it.

Mayor Flaute: So I guess we are going to wait until he comes back to us and say he can't find a place and then we will just talk internally if we want it back on the agenda. Any of you can put it back on the agenda, if you want. Mr. Denning: It's probably not going to go on the agenda anytime soon. Thank you for all the information. Mayor Flaute: Thank you, sir.

B) Discussion: Capital Projects

Mayor Flaute: Moving on to capital projects. Mr. Fullenkamp, do you want to speak first? Mr. Fullenkamp: Yeah, I handed out this spreadsheet. I worked on this the last couple of days. What I did was took the standard fund balance spreadsheet that is always at the end of a budget Excel sheet. Initially, I was just looking at estimated 2018 beginning balances and then I realized with this packet we had end of year fund balances. If you look to the right in the last two major columns, the first is just a copy of the first column and the second is where I entered in the numbers from the end of year financial statement. That produced some differences in the outcome predicted end of year general fund balances for 2018. What I did was use the anticipated \$1.4 million net operating loss as the number. When I did that, it showed our anticipated end of year general fund balance would be \$1.65 million and that is our balance after the end of next year, if everything in the budget is true. Then I looked at net annual operating expenses. I will tie it all together when I'm done, talking about these numbers. I used net annual operating expenses as a conservative number. I guess I could have used the gross numbers, but I decided that I wasn't sure what the State's requirements were for this particular situation and then I divided it by 12. That's how I got the bottom box on the right there, the net monthly operating expenses. I've got arrows between the end of year general fund balance and the net monthly operating expenses for 2018 and there is a reason.

The Auditor has three levels of fiscal watch. One is a fiscal caution, one is a fiscal watch, and one is a fiscal emergency. One of the criteria for being put on the fiscal caution is when low year end carryover balance exists in the general fund such that the balance is equal to or less than an amount representing one month of expenditures or when a low yearend balance exists in any other fund such that the balance is equal to or less than an amount representing one month of expenditures. The first line is important because if everything goes as anticipated in this budget, once they audit our 2018 numbers and if it turns out like our budget is anticipating, the Auditor is likely to walk in and put us on the caution. Then that will require certain things from the City. He will require a plan. The Auditor will require a plan. If we

Thursday, January 18, 2018

don't develop a plan that brings us out of this particular situation, the Auditor will put us up for a fiscal emergency. I just want to point this out for context when we are talking about how much we are going to spend for 2018. We are real close to having the State Auditor walk in and say "Pay attention, folks." He's going to knock on our door and start asking us for more information. It looks like it could happen in the middle of 2019 after those 2018 numbers are audited. That's my point. It's something we've got to be very careful how we spend our money and be very aggressive on how we raise revenue and be very aggressive on how we monitor our spending. Thank you. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Thank you very much. I like the picture.

Mayor Flaute: We will move over to you, Mr. Manager. I know you wanted to talk about some budget things and capital projects. Mr. Carpenter: The conversation was to talk about capital projects. I will start with the residential streets and how to proceed with that. In the budget, we asked for \$340,000.00 to do residential streets and there was some conversation on which streets, we made some recommendations, and there was some consideration of doing micro-surfacing instead of mill and fills and then which streets we want to do. We've talked to Choice One. They don't recommend doing micro-surfacing on mill and fill streets. We want to get the bids out as soon as possible, so I would like to proceed and do some residential streets. I'd like to hear what Council has to say on that subject. Mayor Flaute: Alright. Thank you, Mr. Manager. Does anyone want to give an opinion on that before I give mine?

Mr. Fullenkamp: Sure. We have selected some streets that are appropriate for micro-surfacing, correct? Mr. Carpenter: The last conversation that we had about it we talked about following Choice One and what their plan was as far as ratings on streets. I sent an email about the lowest rated micro-surfacing streets and then the response was to do micro-surfacing on the mill and fill streets. Mr. Fullenkamp: That's a bad idea. Mayor Flaute: If Choice One says that's a bad idea. That is a bad idea. Mr. Fullenkamp: I'm okay with that. Mayor Flaute: We don't know much better than them. Mr. Curp: Why do they say it is a bad idea? Mr. Carpenter: Because the sub street is still going to need mill and fill and if you put it on there it is going to last maybe a year or two years instead of the micro-surfacing life span, which is more of a five year.

Ms. Campbell: I remember a while back when I was on before. I mentioned to put a \$500,000.00 this year and \$500,000.00 back next year. Do the mill and fill that needed it and do the slurring on the ones that could wait another year and use the other half to catch up with them. Mr. Carpenter: Well, we just don't have that dollar amount available to do it that way. We are talking \$340,000.00 for this year on residential streets. Ms. Campbell: And we don't have that? Mr. Carpenter: It's in the budget the \$340,000.00 to do residential streets. Like I said, we had a recommendation and Council had talked about doing micro-surfacing and then we were going to go down the rating system done by Choice One. Ms. Campbell: You can't get a grant for any of it? Mr. Carpenter: No, not for the residential streets. Not this year. Ms. Campbell: Well if you do the mill and fill and there is any left, you can go do the slurring on the worst ones. Mr. Carpenter: That was going to be the strategy whichever way we pursue this, mill and fill or micro-surfacing, is that we would put in some streets as alternates and if we get the really good pricing then we would already have alternates already bid.

Mr. Fullenkamp: For every foot of mill and fill, we can do how many feet of micro-surfacing? Mr. Carpenter: I will ask Mr. Keaton's assistance on that question. Mr. Keaton: Typically, it is usually around \$7.00 a square yard to do your mill and fill. You can cut that in about half or a little more than that when you do your micro-surfacing. Mr. Fullenkamp: So you can do 2 feet for every foot? Mr. Keaton: Correct.

Mayor Flaute: I'm thinking we should from what I hear from Council is to do as many streets as we possibly can and some of those were mill and fills, but I don't think that is a good idea. We have an engineering firm, so we should listen to them. I'm saying I'm thinking we should go ahead and not do any mill and fills or only do one mill and fill and do the rest micro-surface. Is that something Council would agree on? Ms.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Campbell: Wouldn't you want to do the mill and fill first because it is only going to last a year if you put slurry on it. Mayor Flaute: The problem with doing mill and fill for the streets that were recommended is we are not going to get many streets done. That's why the suggestion was we micro-surface all of them, even the ones that need mill and fill. Our engineering department came back and said that is not a good idea for the reasons the Manager just said. If Council is still wanting to do as many streets as possible, so we should still micro-surface as many as we can that aren't mill and fill streets is what I'm thinking. Maybe we could just do one mill and fill.

Mr. Denning: I have a question for Jay. Micro-surfacing costs us half as much or about, but it only lasts half as long. Mr. Keaton: In the grading system that I put together, if you are going to apply a micro-surface on a micro-surface ready street, you will get 7 years. Mr. Denning: And if I have a mill and fill street that needs mill and filled, how long will it last? Mr. Keaton: You will get 10-20 years out of a street like that. Mr. Denning: My point is it may cost us more, but it lasts. We get our money's worth either way. Mr. Keaton: Yes and you also address things like flooding. You are able to control via the paver where you are not able to do that with a micro-surface application. You can control watershed from the crown of the road and direct it to catch basins so we can alleviate several problems when we put new pavement down versus micro-surface as well. That is an added benefit.

Mr. Denning: Here would be my suggestion. We have \$340,000.00 to do roads. We actually get more bang for our buck out of the mill and fill, but my thought would be that we split it 50/50 or however best that money could be used, but we do both. We have some streets that a mill and fill is the only thing that is going to repair them. Wherever it is on the list from Choice One, we need to do the top 1 or 2 that is within the \$170,000.00 or whatever. Then do the rest in micro-surfacing. Mayor Flaute: I tend to agree with that. That makes sense.

Mr. Fullenkamp: So Spinning Road was a micro-surface; it is now about 9 years old. Is it at its end of life? Mr. Keaton: Yeah, Spinning Road as a whole needs to be mill and filled and reconstruction probably in some parts. Mr. Fullenkamp: But is it an end of life for a micro-surface? Mr. Keaton: Yes. If you look at it now, there are lots of fissure and cracks in it. We've got potholing going on down the road in between Burkhardt and I think it is Linden. We've got some pretty severe potholing starting to occur just from the micro-surfacing. Once you get all the Sammy down and the cape seal, you've only got a little less than a ¼ inch of surfacing on a micro-surface. It is very quickly reflected through and that's the reason it lasts only 6-7 years on a street that is ready for that.

Mr. Fullenkamp: What I'm saying is Spinning is a pretty busy road. You are telling me you get the same life out of a residential street as you would a kind of almost thoroughfare? Mr. Keaton: You get a lot of traffic on Spinning. We did a cape seal on that, but the decay of the material, the thinness of the material, it all reflective cracking no matter the traffic load on it is going to be similar. Mr. Fullenkamp: What I'm suggesting is that the life of a micro-surface or a cape seal will be longer on a residential street than it would be on a feeder or whatever. Mr. Keaton: Main thoroughfare or whatever.

We did some many years ago in Saville. You might remember, Sara. It has been 15-20 years ago we did some in there? It made it for quite a while. We did some black mat in there. Traffic does impact it, but I think more of the issue is what street are you putting it on as far as what is it ready for. If you put it on a mill and fill street, then even on a residential street you are not going to get that full life span out of a micro-surface. Spinning Road is in a condition right now that I believe because of the crown of the road there is very little reveal of curb and gutter in some places. We've got potholing and full depth base repair. We've got base failure going on. There are areas that need to be dug out and fixed in some spots. We've gotten good life out of that, but it doesn't last as long. When we first applied that, I don't think that it was in the condition of needing a full depth base repair in most places and a mill and fill in some spots. I think that it was ready for a micro-surfacing at that time. It has lasted quite well, but I don't know that the street back when we did it however many years ago that was. You can mill and fill any street, but I don't think that in the condition that it was in back then that it was necessarily in that particular shape when we put

Thursday, January 18, 2018

that on there. Mr. Fullenkamp: When we mill and filled? Mr. Keaton: That's correct. Mr. Fullenkamp: Now it is, but you are saying full reconstruction is what it needs actually. Mr. Keaton: In some spots, yeah.

Mayor Flaute: In the past we have talked about slurry seal. Mr. Keaton: That's the same thing. Mayor Flaute: That's the same thing as micro? Okay. Mr. Keaton: That's correct. I think it is a slang use. The one thing Council might want to consider on the micro-surfacing is even in a very controlled atmosphere it is sloppy. It doesn't look well in a curbed environment because no matter how hard you try the way that it is brought out of the truck that is pulling it down the street, it gets slopped on the curbs. It comes up on the curb reveals and you've got black tar looking stuff on your curb reveals. You get black tar all over your catch basins. It's not necessarily an operator thing; it is just so fluid that even in a very controlled environment it gets up in driveway approach aprons. We had lots of complaints from residents about that. It's just like someone pouring tar along your driveway and it is very hard to control coming out of the vehicle.

Mr. Fullenkamp: So when is micro-sealing appropriate? From what I'm hearing, maybe never. Mr. Keaton: No, I think there is a good application for micro-surfacing. I think micro-surfacing is really best in areas with soft shoulders, such as Avondale or the Byesville plat where you don't have curbs and such. Mr. Denning: Schwinn. Mr. Keaton: Correct. Mr. Fullenkamp: So a big portion of Choice One's proposal or their 20-year plan was micro-seal on a lot of roads. Mr. Keaton: Right. Mr. Fullenkamp: If we are talking largely just mill and fill, we are going to raise the price of that \$20 million plan pretty quickly. Mr. Keaton: Yeah, but understand that document was arranged so we could look at the percentages of our roads that are in this kind of condition. We've done micro-surfacing on curbed streets. It's worked and it has stabilized the street. It's done its purpose.

Mayor Flaute: I don't think we have much choice. I appreciate your information, but we still need to do the micro-surfacing even if it does splash tar all over. We don't have any choice in that. Again, going back to Mr. Denning's suggestion it is still an appropriate thing to do with the additional information that you gave us. Mr. Keaton: I understand. Yeah, it is just consideration for you to think of when you go into a curbed area. Mayor Flaute: I will put that out of my mind. Mr. Fullenkamp: Why wouldn't we just micro-seal everything this year? Mayor Flaute: Because Choice One said that's not a good idea. Mr. Fullenkamp: No, they didn't say that. They said you don't want to do that to streets that need a mill and fill. Mayor Flaute: Oh, what was your question? I'm sorry. Mr. Fullenkamp: I said why don't we just micro-seal all the roads that are appropriate for micro-sealing this year so we get the maximum amount of road done. Mayor Flaute: And ignore mill and fill roads? Mr. Fullenkamp: For this year? Yeah. Mayor Flaute: Because we can't do anything to them. I'm not sure that is the best idea because that is going to catch us.

Mr. Curp: What the Manager said is when he talked with Choice One they said micro-surfacing on a mill and fill street won't last as long as if you put it on a ready street. It's not that you can't do it and it's not that it won't help preserve the life of that street and put off the day when you have to do mill and fill, which is what we were talking about because we don't have any money. We don't have any money, so as decision makers for this community, we see things in a little different light than what the Services Director does because the Service Director role is to take a look at what is the most qualitative and long term. We are not in a position right now to do qualitative and long term like he's looking at or maybe the Manager is looking at because we are looking at survival because we don't have any money and we've got streets falling apart. Now we have to look at what we have to do as a band aid to get us through to where we get new money, which we were supposed to have a recommendation on in November of 2017 and we don't have it yet. Mayor Flaute: Well with Mr. Denning's approach, we are still staying in the budget. We are still getting streets done that we are not putting a band aid on. We are getting streets done; band aids are not good ideas. We need to stay within our budget, do as many roads as we can because that is what I'm hearing, but don't micro-seal.

Ms. Campbell: Some of those streets kids walk to school and it is considered a safety issue. Why can't you get a grant on part of that? Sometimes they will put up what

Thursday, January 18, 2018

you are putting up. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: You can't on residential streets. Ms. Campbell: Well it's not all residential. Mr. Fullenkamp: These are all residential that we are talking about. Mayor Flaute: We can look into that. Ms. Campbell: On Spinning, the school up there is what you are looking for and Airway is terrible. Mr. Denning: That is also on the list to be done next year. Mr. Fullenkamp: I think it is further than that. Ms. Campbell: They are supposed to be putting sidewalks on Valley, but that's a safety issue right there with the kids walking to school. They walk down the middle; they don't walk down the side.

Ms. Fry: To Mr. Denning's point, a mill and fill is at least as good investment as a micro-surface. Mayor Flaute: If you do a mill and fill on the ones that need mill and fill. Ms. Fry: Correct, but the advantage with micro-surface is we can kick the can down the road a little bit. At some point in time, that bill is going to come due. Mr. Fullenkamp: Oh, it's due now. Ms. Fry: I think that needs to be part of the consideration is we can do more roads with micro-surface, but we also have to be looking at what the long term plan is. Mayor Flaute: That's why a band aid isn't maybe what we want.

Mr. Fullenkamp: But I have always asked for a cost/benefit analysis on these different approaches and I have never gotten it from Choice One or our people. I said what is the best approach over the next 20 years or the next 5 years. I've always asked if there is a cost and a benefit for everything and you've got to weigh those things. Mayor Flaute: You've heard that. They are saying don't slurry or micro-soft mill and fills because it's not good. Mr. Fullenkamp: I understand that, but I have asked that for this entire plan was what is the benefit of micro-seal and he is saying yes, there is a benefit. Now, is it equivalent to a mill and fill? Mr. Keaton: As far as? Mr. Fullenkamp: Cost/benefit. What we are hearing is it is twice as much, but it lasts twice as long. Mr. Keaton: To Mr. Curp's point, if you do a mill and fill and if it is a 20-year paving plan that you've got, essentially you have a 20-year perpetual paving plan; it doesn't stop. What you do is push that back to the 20th year, so you get a 20 year life span out of that street. If you micro-surface that street and then it is going to stay on that mill and fill list. Now we are going to take and spend money on micro-surfacing it and then we are going to spend money on mill and filling it, which to me doesn't make sense. It is a band aid because we are in a situation where we are in a crisis situation with our money and our budget, but as far as the benefit of what you are going to get you pave the street, the street lasts 20 years versus 7 and then having to do it over again. Mr. Fullenkamp: How do we spread this benefit over the community becomes the question. Mr. Keaton: Yes, that is.

Mayor Flaute: That's why Mr. Denning's suggestion makes sense; half and half. Mr. Curp: All the suggestions make sense. Mr. Keaton: They do. Mayor Flaute: Alright, but that's the one I think makes the most sense. Mr. Fullenkamp: That's the one you agree with. Mr. Denning: I look at it as I don't see a reason to put a band aid on something that needs stitches and that's my concern. When the stitches are going to be a 20 year long and it is going to fix it and we are not going to have to go back and do anything later. Let's say there is a street that needs to be mill and fill and it is going to be \$1 million to mill and fill it. We are going to put \$500,000.00 this year to micro-surface it and then we are going to put \$1 million into it 2 years from now to mill and fill it. Mr. Fullenkamp: But we've decided that we will not micro-seal anything that requires a mill and fill. Mayor Flaute: Is that the decision? Mr. Fullenkamp: I agree with Choice One. You don't do mill and fill roads with a micro-seal. That I agree with, but we have lots of roads that are eligible and qualify for a micro-seal. Mr. Denning: Okay, but then all the sudden the mill and fill street because we have done this for a year or 2 years, now it is a complete rebuild and it is going to cost us 5 times as much to do that. Mr. Fullenkamp: Micro-seal will prevent that from happening. Again, we are talking about a drop in the bucket right now.

Mayor Flaute: I think the recommendation from what I am hearing from Council is Mr. Denning's position and half and half. Mr. Curp: Is that what we heard? Mayor Flaute: That's what I heard. Mr. Curp: That's what you heard from him. Mayor Flaute: That's what I heard from Mr. Fullenkamp. Mr. Fullenkamp: No, I didn't say that. Mayor Flaute: What's the direction then? Mr. Denning: Mr. Fullenkamp said he wanted to micro-surface. Mayor Flaute: We have to give a suggestion. Mr. Denning: Hold on. Mr. Curp: You have to hear more than what fits in with what you want to do.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Mr. Denning: Bill, what Mr. Fullenkamp said is that he wanted to micro-surface as many streets as possible and not do any mill and fill. Mr. Fullenkamp: This year. Mr. Denning: So he was going to do \$340,000.00 for just micro-surface. Mayor Flaute: I still think you are kicking the can down the road. Mr. Denning: Well, you are. Mayor Flaute: I don't think that is a good idea.

Mr. Denning: If we could do that and the only question I would have and this is more for Jay is can the lowest graded mill and fill street last another year or is it going to deteriorate to be a full depth reconstruction in a year. The answer may be you don't know. Mr. Keaton: I can tell you with this extreme winter that we have had where I think at one point the ambient temperature was down 10-17 below zero and then in the same week it was 60. We put 40,000 pounds of asphalt patch alone on Airway East in the last two days. I made that point to say that all the roads are impacted, I'm sure. We are going to have to take another look. Mr. Denning: My concern is that all the sudden if we hold off a year because let's say we would only be able to do one mill and fill street with half the budget, but that saves that one then we need to get it done so it doesn't cost us 3-5 times as much a year from now. Mr. Keaton: I understand.

Ms. Fry: Basically if we can let some of these go for another year and it doesn't cost us more, there is value in the band aid approach because you get to give yourself more room. We are in a crisis situation. That is a situation where kicking the can down the road might be a good idea to where down the road we can get our ducks in a row, we can get a 20-year plan. Mayor Flaute: So you are pretty much advocating what Mr. Fullenkamp said to micro-seal as many as we can. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Micro-seal. Mayor Flaute: And leave the mill and fills alone. Ms. Fry: While also being cognizant of if it deteriorates too much.

Mayor Flaute: I agree with that position. Mr. Denning and Sara? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I'm totally confused. I will be honest with you. Mayor Flaute: Do you want me to try to explain it to you? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: No, I don't want you trying to explain it to me. I am not qualified to decide or override what the professional people suggest. If they say that it is not a good idea, then I have to trust them. That's why we pay them. Mr. Curp: They didn't say it wasn't a good idea, they said it wasn't the best thing right now as far as they are concerned. They are also an outside contractor who spends other people's money. Mayor Flaute: But they are engineers and they do this every day. I can't believe they would give us bad advice. Mr. Curp: I don't know. Was the guy from Verizon an engineer? Mayor Flaute: Okay, but we hired Choice One and if we don't trust Choice One we need to get another engineering firm. That to me doesn't make sense.

Ms. Campbell: Is there another way to get help like put a levy out there? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Not for this year. Ms. Campbell: Well, do mill and fill this year and next year if we get it do slurring. That's why I said put so much back each year, one for one and one for the other. Mayor Flaute: So your position is what Mr. Fullenkamp basically said to micro-seal as many as we can and leave the mill and fill alone until next year. Ms. Campbell: Yeah, but I was talking about getting help. Doesn't the Base patrol out here and give tickets in certain places in residential areas? Someone said to watch going down Airway because you will get a ticket. Is that the Base doing that? Mayor Flaute: I don't know what you mean by get a ticket. Ms. Campbell: I mean would they donate to the cause? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I don't think so. Ms. Campbell: Why not?

Mr. Denning: We used 80% of the road budget last year on mill and fill or did we split it? Mr. Carpenter: Everything was mill and fill. The streets there in Avondale weren't thick enough even to do a total mill and fill, so there was some overlay. Mr. Denning: So either way, we did all asphalt last year. Mr. Carpenter: Yes. Mr. Denning: So to Ms. Campbell's point, we did asphalt last year and we do micro-surface this year and we do asphalt next year. Mayor Flaute: Okay.

Mrs. Lommatzsch, do you have any more questions? We are trying to do what the engineers are telling us to do; we are just trying to decide if we want to do more streets or less streets. What is your opinion, I guess? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I started talking twice and have been interrupted. I think that we have to do what we

Thursday, January 18, 2018

feel is the most bang for our buck, but along with that program whatever we decide to do we are going to have people upset. Along with the plan, I think we need to also put our sights out further. Our plan we have is 20 years, so we have to tell people what we are going to do more than just this year. If we go out and do Mrs. Smith's street and we don't do Mr. Jones' street and we don't know what to tell them and we are going to have to have a street and road levy. I think we can't push the ball down the road any further. I think to do whatever we can do to sell the community on the crisis is important.

Ms. Campbell: Which one is priority? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: We have the list, Bev, and residential streets are our responsibility. Airway is a thoroughfare that is a whole different pocket book. We are just talking about the street that runs in front of our house and mine. I think we keep chewing on this problem and we just need to march. I don't care what we do, but we've got to do something and we've got to do it soon. Mayor Flaute: The Manager is looking for direction. We've got to give direction. I think what we have been hearing tonight is direction and that's what we are going to tell our residents as you said.

Ms. Fry: I agree with Mrs. Lommatzsch that we decide what we are going to do this year, but we have to do that 20-year plan and tell the residents the way they fall. Mayor Flaute: I agree 100%. This conversation here is what are we going to do this year. That's the way I see this conversation.

A motion was made by Mr. Fullenkamp to use \$340,000.00 to micro-seal appropriate streets for 2018. Mr. Denning seconded the motion.

Ms. Campbell: Micro-fill? Mayor Flaute: We are going to micro-surface. Ms. Campbell: I thought we were going to mill and fill. Mayor Flaute: The motion is to do no mill and fill. We are just going to do micro-surface. Mr. Denning: We did only mill and fill last year, Bev, in Avondale. It was all mill and fill. Ms. Campbell: They did what? Prince Albert and Pleasant Valley. Mayor Flaute: That was all mill and fill. Ms. Campbell: They didn't do my street. Mayor Flaute: The motion is that we micro-seal appropriate streets and not do any mill and fill. Is there any other discussion?

Mr. Denning: If we end up with money left over, are we going to mill and fill anything or do you want to continue micro-surface? Mayor Flaute: Let's just stick with what we've got. Mr. Denning: We will micro-surface.

Six were in favor; Mr. Curp opposed. **Motion carried.**

Mayor Flaute: Mr. Manager, what is your next topic? Mr. Carpenter: Well as you know, Airway East was awful. Jay and the crew were out there doing the best they can to fill those holes, but we are concerned that going forward what that is going to look like. We have been talking with Choice One and doing some internal number crunching to come up with a plan on how we are going to address that going forward. It is not scheduled to be constructed in the next 5 years, but we are going to see what options we can get on going forward at Airway East. As far as this year, Union Schoolhouse is on the agenda and is ready to go to bid. Then 2020 is Airway and that includes the Woodman intersection going west to the Dayton city line. In 2021, is Springfield East and then 2022 is Springfield West, which would be from Old Harshman to Northcliff. Then 2023 is currently the joint project with Dayton there on our portion of Springfield Street between Northcliff and Smithville. I think the next one, and Mr. Taylor may have an update for the Woodman bridge.

Mr. Taylor: US 35 and the Woodman overpass, as you know we have been working with MVRPC and ODOT District 7 to get the add-a-lane, which has been a very important project. I know Brian Martin has talked about it and I know Mrs. Lommatzsch has talked about it, so that has been passed on to TRAC and that is a \$15 million project that they were originally asking for some sort of local match. MVRPC was able to throw some money into that without any local dollars from us or Dayton. To go along with that, we were also approved \$5 million in safety funds for the Woodman overpass. With that approval, came a \$2 million give to us from ODOT under the assumption that this year when we do our major thoroughfare ask to MVRPC we ask for a \$3 million grant to MVRPC to couple that. So that bridge is

Thursday, January 18, 2018

roughly a \$10-11 million project and \$7.2 comes from the safety dollars, the ODOT, and then we can do our application several different ways to maximize our points when we go. We typically do a 60/40 split, so a 60/40 split of \$3 million is \$1.2 million of local dollars. Worst case scenario at \$1.2 million we are leveraging almost \$10 million, so it is a 1:10.

What I would suggest we do is go for the minimum points because it is a regionally important project and we can do a 21% match where we only put in 21%, which is \$640,000.00 match. We can then offset for that further cost with an OPWC grant in 2021 for that grant. We would take possibly another 40% off of that \$640,000.00, which brings us down to \$200,000.00. So for \$200,000.00, we are going to get an \$11 million project done over 35 and that is scheduled for 2023. In 2023, we have the small project with the joint project with Dayton and then we have this large joint project with ODOT and MVRPC. Those are kind of where we are at, which would leave us open for an additional ask and an application for a 2024 project, which could be Airway East, but that doesn't score very well because it is a mill and fill and so resurfacing projects don't score well and that's why it hasn't been on the list for that major thoroughfare through MVRPC because it doesn't score well. Those resurfacing projects don't score well. That's kind of where we are at in the long run.

Mayor Flaute: Sounds like a deal because when they put that 3rd lane in it would certainly be wise for us to do that bridge if it is only going to cost us \$200,000.00-300,000.00 or whatever. Mr. Taylor: They will do the Super Street project in Greene County first and that is supposed to start construction late this year or early 2019. Then they will do our add-a-lane and after the add-a-lane is done, they will start construction on the bridge in 2023. Mayor Flaute: Are there any questions from Council? Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Is there anything else Mr. Manager? Mr. Carpenter: That was primarily it. I just wanted to bring you up on the major thoroughfare projects and get the residential street questions answered.

Mr. Denning: Is Airway still just a mill and fill? Mr. Keaton: As far as the condition it is in right now? It is really structurally not in bad shape. It is decaying asphalt. Most of it is decaying asphalt. Mr. Denning: Okay. I just wanted to make sure. Mr. Keaton: No, mill and fill is where it is at right now. Mr. Denning: Okay.

Mr. Taylor: I do just want to add, Ms. Campbell asked about Wright Patterson and the Base putting in money. There are two programs that we will be applying for Springfield East, which is the Federal Lands Access Program or the FLAP grant, so we are looking to apply for those funds this year and then there is the Defensive Access Roads Program which they don't call DARP it is DAR. To apply for those, we have to have the Base Commander initiate that process. Those are very finite roads which we can use those on, so we are looking at getting the Base to put in some money on those things. Ms. Campbell: Thank you. You were listening. Mr. Taylor: Yes. Mayor Flaute: Well if you need any help with the Base Commander, I think a lot of us here know him and we would be more than happy to help you if we can. Mr. Fullenkamp: Is Airway a similar road? Mr. Taylor: Correct. Now the DAR program is something I'm familiar with. It is one of these underutilized programs. In Ohio with FLAP, there is not a lot of money given to Ohio. I know you were just in Colorado and in my hometown out there, they have gotten a \$14 million grant to do a project. The State of Ohio doesn't even get \$14 million to spread out amongst the entire state, so there is not a lot of money there but it is something we have discussed doing as well.

Mr. Denning: That is something we can talk to Mr. Turner about pushing our way? Mr. Taylor: If you know Mr. Turner, I don't think that would hurt. Mayor Flaute: Give us the information because we will talk to them. Mr. Denning: He is a big proponent of the Base, so in that light it would be in his best interest to help us make those roads better. I think it would be a way for us to do that. Mr. Taylor: I think that \$2.2 million was an earmark way back when that hadn't been used and that's how we got that from ODOT District 7. Mayor Flaute: They are talking about doing more of them, so Mr. Turner needs to know what we need. Mr. Denning: We need the roads around the Base fixed. Mr. Fullenkamp: I'll be glad for Mr. Turner to represent Montgomery County at some point in time.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Ms. Campbell: For an example, when you are looking at other options and when they put the sidewalks on Valley Street that was considered a re-modification and a safety issue. If you would have said just to put sidewalks in without the other, you might get help on more of the rest of it when you apply for it. Mr. Taylor: Yes.

There were no further questions or comments.

ITEM 7: RECESS:

The Council took a recess at 7:45 p.m.

ITEM 8: RECONVENE:

The meeting was reconvened at 7:55 p.m.

ITEM 9: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE/MOMENT OF SILENCE:

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Mike Denning.

ITEM 10: MINUTES:

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve the minutes of the November 13, 2017 special Council meeting minutes. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

ITEM 11: ACCEPTANCE OF PRIOR MONTH'S CITY FINANCIAL REPORT:

Mayor Flaute: Mr. Manager, is there something you need to say about that or Tom that you need to talk about? Mr. Carpenter: I don't have anything to add. Mr. Garrett: Not really. We closed the year and that's the report.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to accept the December financial report. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

ITEM 12: ACCEPTANCE OF WRITTEN CITIZENS PETITIONS:

Mayor Flaute advised citizens to fill out a form if they wished to speak about agenda or non-agenda items.

ITEM 13: PUBLIC HEARING

- A) Ordinance No. 17-O-649 approving a change in the current district boundaries on the Zoning Map of the City of Riverside, Ohio, for the property located at 704 Brantly Avenue, Parcel ID No. I39 00204 0003, reclassifying it from C-I to R-3.

Mayor Flaute opened the public hearing at 7:56 p.m.

Mayor Flaute: Is there any report from staff on this, Mr. Manager? Mr. Carpenter: I have not heard anything different. Mr. Taylor, have you heard anything different? Mr. Taylor: No, this is still for 740 Brantly and the change from a C-I to an R-3. As you know, that property has been a residential property since at least 1973 and somewhere in the 2014 UDO map change it got changed over. This is to rectify that situation and I think this is the second reading. Mayor Flaute: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

With no testimony in favor or in opposition of the Ordinance, Mayor Flaute closed the public hearing at 7:57 p.m.

There were no additional questions or comments.

ITEM 14: CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:

Mr. Carpenter: The report is in your packet. If you have any questions, staff and I would be glad to answer them the best we can. Mayor Flaute: Are there any questions for the Manager? Seeing none, I just have one. I talked with the Manager about this earlier and the Council Request sheets are just pretty lame and I don't see much value in them anymore if we are not going to be able to keep them up, so I asked the City Manager to please take off what is not appropriate and if anyone has any thoughts about that, you are welcome to speak them now or talk to the Manager about them. It is just not being updated and is not being worked on. Mr. Manager, do you have any comments about that? Mr. Carpenter: Some of these have been fulfilled, but they are still on there. I think part of it was Council had requested that the requestor say that they should come off and I think that has been part of the issue and is why they are not coming off. I would like to address these and complete them the best we can, so if there is a better way I am all ears on how we can address this.

Ms. Fry: I would like to make a suggestion that we add a suspense date on these items and a priority. That will help us make sense of the list. Mayor Flaute: Are there any thoughts about that? Can we do that Mr. Manager? Ms. Arnold: I actually do that spreadsheet. I don't know if you all knew that or not. I can add whatever you would like me to. It is not a problem. Mayor Flaute: I'm not sure what a suspense date means. Ms. Fry: A suspense date is when it is going to get done. Ms. Arnold: An anticipated date. I have noticed the assigned date seems a bit redundant to me. I think everyone pretty much knows as far as staff goes that if someone asks you for it directly that it is assigned to you, so I would think we could replace the assigned date with the anticipated completion date and that could be provided by the City Manager after you guys have meetings and have an idea of when you think it may or may not be done. Ms. Fry: To be clear, there is already an estimated completion date column. What I would be looking for is a due date. Ms. Arnold: That would be fine, we can change it to due date instead of assigned date.

Also Mark and I did discuss this, but even if we were able to add a 5-10 minute portion to work session quarterly or monthly if you wanted to do that. Sometimes I do hear comments from staff where they have a lot on their plate and maybe they didn't get to it right away and by the time they look at it again they are unclear as to exactly what the question was. I try to be as descriptive as possible, but aside from cutting and pasting the paragraph from the minutes and sometimes they are still unsure of exactly what is being asked for. Maybe that would help get things cleared off the list and get updates as far as when it will be completed and also if clarification is needed, that would be an opportunity to do that. Mr. Denning: I think making it part of the work session the first meeting of every month would be perfect to do that. Ms. Arnold: Or we could even add it to the Communications portion where we talk about liquor control applications and other things like that. Sometimes not all of staff is here during the work session, so any time after 7:00 and then it wouldn't cut into the work session time, if that would be okay. Mr. Carpenter: I think even in addition to that going forward, I will soon be supplying some weekly reports and we can address that there as well. Mayor Flaute: If the requestor sees that nothing has been happening or something has happened, that would be appropriate for the requestor to say something also to help you in your efforts.

There were no additional questions or comments.

- (1) FYI Items
 - a. Council Request Sheets
 - b. Council Agenda Calendar
 - c. City Manager's Project and Activities Report

Thursday, January 18, 2018

- d. Income Tax Scorecard
- (2) Monthly Verbal Reports
 - a. Police Department

Mr. Carpenter introduced Chief Robinson for the monthly update for the Police Department.

Chief Robinson: Thank you, sir. I'm sorry, but a miserable headache just hit me. I apologize. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Do you need help, Chief? Chief Robinson: No. I've been speaking about the two Officers. They are both officially off their TO program, so they have been assigned to road patrol and obviously put on probation pending that for I think about six more months and then they will be off of their probation. We actually sent 8 Officers over to the Crisis Awareness Training and that is actually for the well-being of the Police Officers in the Department. We sent two Officers from each shift to the Wellness Training. It is basically for them to be able to observe their fellow co-workers and see if there are any kind of issues with them as far as mentally or physically that they can help them if they are having some issues.

Some general information, I just want to speak to the GROW program and what it did for us last year. As far as this year, we have been out twice with the GROW team and we have spoken with eight people. Last year in 2017, we had what we are saying at 247 overdoses for the year with 23 deaths and 152 Narcan uses. That's for the Police Department; I'm not speaking to the Fire Department. 75 people were charged with various crimes in relation to the drug use that was going on there. What I would like to say about that is we don't just go out there to help people, we also still have to charge people with these crimes sometimes because if we don't, they will never get help. We are hoping that going through the courts is sometimes the only way we can get people to do what we need them to do to actually help themselves. That's an unfortunate part of the job.

I just want to point out and I know we talk about things in the City all the time about what they represent and what kind of impact they can have on our City. I would like to say that we keep track of the locations we have the most overdoses. In 2017, most overdoses were at the Microtel. There were 13 there. We actually had two different people overdose six times each. We had 13 deaths before the GROW program. I think this is a very interesting statistic, 13 deaths prior to the GROW program starting and 10 after the program started. That gave us 133 overdoses before the GROW and 113 after it started. I think that actually shows that it does make some type of difference. It is not a huge amount, however it does work. If we can get people to come out and actually get the help that they need, it does work. The oldest person was 60 and the youngest person was 16. We had two 16 year olds overdose this year. I'm not going to beat that horse to death. We know that is a bad situation in every city across the country and it is no different here and we are doing the best we can to hopefully make an impact on stopping that nonsense that is going on and killing our people and bringing them down. We will continue to do that through the GROW program and through obviously enforcement.

You may have noticed or you may not have because the weather has been so bad, we actually do have our new three cruisers on the road. They are probably the best outfitted cruisers I have ever seen as far as the layout. We try to keep them almost the same for every cruiser, but these are really well done by K.E. Rose. We appreciate them.

The last thing I want to do is give a couple atta boys to several folks. You may remember the fatal crash we had on Route 4 with the motorcycle where the lady got killed, she was the passenger on the motorcycle. There was a lot of work done on that by the Officers on the scene, which was Sergeant Saffriet's watch and included Officer Waller, Officer Brewer, Officer Perfetti, and Officer Stafford. They did a lot of work on there to be able to get where we could actually file 16 different charges on the subject driving the vehicle that hit the lady on the motorcycle, 2 of which are aggravated vehicular homicide. The reason there were 2 and there was only one person who passed away is because when you do an OVI, they actually get a felony

Thursday, January 18, 2018

on the OVI and for wreckless as well. There were actually some gun charges involved there too because he was actually carrying a weapon. I just want to make sure they get a little bit of an atta boy for their efforts. They did a fantastic job and it all culminates in them going to court and getting this taken care of.

One other one I would like to point out is Officer Anderson and Detective Abney for their investigations in the arrest of Donald Cantrell. Mr. Cantrell is a contractor, so if you hear that name around town, make sure that you call us because he is a swindler. Mr. Cantrell was charged with multiple credit card thefts involving the elderly. He was going to people's homes and stealing credit cards and using them. Through some very good investigations with Officer Anderson and Detective Abney, we were able to apprehend him and he is facing multiple charges for that. They did a very good job.

One more thing and I think I have mentioned this before; the printers in the cruisers are now fully operational. Not only do they print out citations, which nobody wants to get one of those, but they actually save money for us because it doesn't cost as much to have the ticket come out of those. Also they have a courtesy report that we can actually print out on the scene, so if someone has a fender bender on private property and you don't do a full blown report that goes to the State because it is private property you would do a courtesy report for them right on scene and hand it to them and they can be on their way. That's all I have for tonight.

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: The GROW program, I have been to the presentation that the Sheriff's Department is doing advocating work on rehabilitation. The next program is going to be scheduled February 7th 6:30 at Community Methodist Church. It is not a church program, but a location. The Sheriff will be there and one part of the GROW program is he is looking for help. That is the teams that visit, right? Chief Robinson: Yes, ma'am. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: They have asked for citizen involvement. You do get a bit of training and the visit is to the abusers home. It is a Police Officer, clergy, a citizen. There are four people. Chief Robinson: It is the lady that runs the program. She's involved. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: They go back to the homes after the have treated the victim and that's the GROW program. The whole thing I would encourage any of the staff or Council members who can come and listen to that presentation and find out what is going on. They are very active in Riverside. They can't spend all of their time here, but they spend a lot of their time here is what I want to say. If we could show support by some of you showing up for that community meeting, it would be really nice. 7:00 p.m. Wednesday the 7th of February. Thank you. Mayor Flaute: Mr. Manager, if you could get that on Facebook and website. Mr. Carpenter: I will make sure we get the information.

Mr. Curp: I want to ask a question about the printers in the cruisers. Are those files maintained on the computer that is in the printer or are they uploaded to a database and then when they go to print them they get downloaded from the database and then printed? Chief Robinson: Right, it just goes straight to the server. Whatever we can do inside the building, we can do in the car. Basically it is just the same server and it is saved in the building. Mr. Curp: When the Officer prints out a report, does it contain sensitive information such as social security numbers and driver's license numbers or does that redact it or is that not on the report? Chief Robinson: It is not on there. The only thing that really comes off is the citations that would go to the people that have the information on it. The courtesy reports don't have all of that information on there. We don't give out that information. We don't print out full reports at all that we have for a crime that is committed in the car that would still be held within the Department. Mr. Curp: What about for vehicle accidents or something like that, do we issue those kinds of reports from the cruiser? Chief Robinson: No, sir. They still have to come inside the building and make a records request basically for that record and then it is redacted before they get anything.

There were no additional questions or comments.

b. Fire Department

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Mayor Flaute introduced Chief Stitzel for the monthly update for the Fire Department.

Chief Stitzel: Good evening. I just have a few updates in addition to what is in the PAR, so if anyone has any questions on what was in that, feel free to share. Just a quick update on Engine 105, the reserve engine, that was declared surplus and is currently on Gov Deals and we are still pursuing selling it. We have had some interest here recently. We have a department that is out in Kansas that is looking to travel here to take a look at it within the next week or so. We are hopeful that project is going to continue to move and I just wanted to give you an update on that.

Our Community Paramedicine Program is really starting to do well and we have a summary report for the first quarter of the program that was prepared by the Reach Out Montgomery County staff and one of our paramedics. I think you are getting emailed a copy of this report from them. It has all of our stats for October through December for the Community Paramedicine that we have done. We are really starting to make some differences and some impact and we are getting some feedback from that. In here there are some home visits and outcome surveys to talk about some of the things we have done. Today I got a phone call from one of these ladies and then last week we got a phone call from one of them.

The one today just couldn't be more appreciative. She was the one that had a broken hot water heater and she has no hot water in December. She was working through Rebuilding Dayton Association to get a hot water heater. They had her scheduled for a new hot water heater I think in March or April. We were there to check on a smoke detector, started finding out what was going on, we went through Reach Out Montgomery County, made some phone calls, she got a hot water heater six days later. She has had hot water since mid-December and she is really, really happy. She is just wanting me to share that with everybody of how grateful she appreciates what we have done.

The other one is a lady that has a chronic respiratory illness and she had a lot of issues getting her home healthcare started. It was taking quite a few days just to get somebody on the phone. We went back there for another 911 call, identified the problem, got her Community Paramedicine people involved, we had her agency contacted and they started to work with her within one day. We have identified respiratory equipment had mold growing on it and some things like that. We contacted the equipment supplier and got her a whole new oxygen machine and breathing equipment the very next day. She called and wanted to let us know she feels community paramedicine actually saved her life. She's extremely appreciative of the program. There are a couple of other things in here. We found one resident that was using non-network pharmacy and had no clue. We did a medication survey, found out that she needed to get into a network pharmacy, and we are saving her \$196.00 a month right now in co-pays. These are some of the things that just a handful of our people are doing in this Community Paramedicine Program and we are just really, really happy with the outcome of it and we are looking to continue that.

I had a phone call yesterday from Channel 22. They are going to be doing a story on it and they are going to come out next week to do an interview and we are going to get all the players together and they are wanting to do a segment in February on our Community Paramedicine Program that we are doing in Riverside. I just wanted to make sure you guys should have a copy of this. It should be emailed to you from the City Manager so you can see everything that we have been doing with that.

Lastly, next meeting we would like to bring forth a resolution. We are looking to apply for a grant. It is the Assistance to Firefighters grant and it comes out every year. This one got tied up in Committee and Senate for a while and we really weren't sure when it was going to come out or if it was going to come out. It actually did in mid-December. We got a very short window of time to apply. We are looking to apply for our power cots and we are looking to get four sets of power cots. This means injury prevention for both the paramedics and the patients because it just makes it safer for them to lift them in and out of the medic unit. That's really becoming the standard out there now is to have these power assisted stretchers. The total cost of the project is \$182,234.00. Our match under the grant would be \$16,566.00. We have a 10% match of the awarded amount. If we can come up with \$16,500.00, we can get a total

Thursday, January 18, 2018

of \$182,000.00 towards this project and get four power cots mounted into our medic units. We do have a resolution prepared and ready for next meeting to bring forth, but we do want to get that out in front of you so you know it is coming, if you have any questions or anything.

There were no additional questions or comments.

c. Service Department

Mayor Flaute introduced Mr. Keaton for the monthly update for the Service Department.

Mr. Keaton: As you all may suspect you may know what I am going to tell you, snow and ice removal and pothole patching. To the extreme on both it seems like. Again as Chief did, I want to really throw the kudos out for my guys as well. We have been working pretty much around the clock it seems like every weekend since Christmas and trying to be as frugal as we can through our approach and use of salt, but still remain effective in what we are doing. I think we were able to accomplish that. In all of this, we have used only roughly 400-500 tons of salt in doing that, which is a very good thing and a very good accomplishment. As we were talking about the pothole situation going on right now just on Airway East alone, the guys have shoveled out by hand 40,000 pounds of asphalt patch material just on that street alone. We got assisted help from Booher today to try to get us off that street so we could get on some others that are highly impacted by this range that we are getting in temperatures, which is really impacting our streets very heavily. As we have been riding around and evaluating where we are going to go next, I just really want to throw a good kudos out there because they have done a really good job in response to that.

In all of the storm, again a gracious thank you for the trucks that you all have supplied us with. We have not had any problems with any of the newer vehicles. They are operating great and the pre-wetting systems on there have helped us with these extreme temperatures. As you all know, salt is not effective pretty much below 20 degrees, so we have had to use these pre-wetting systems all the time to make the salt effective and be able to de-ice. We did have one truck, the oldest one that was our bac-up truck, a 2005 Sterling. The bed became detached from the framing and was ready to actually slide off just due to salt compromising the welds on back where it attaches to where the lifts are at. The main hoist lift is in the dump itself, so the dump bed itself became completely detached. We are in the process of getting that looked at, seeing if it is capable of being repaired, and can actually be recommended to even be on the road. We are looking at that right now. That's pretty much where we are at in the state of trying to get us caught up and get the potholes patched out there and recover some from the snow and ice. Mayor Flaute: Thank you, Mr. Keaton.

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I'm sure the other Council members saw the email. I don't know that other staff members did and the public, but Chad Wyen from the School District went out of his way to thank the staff and Jay particularly for communicating and handling the situation for the school kids. That says a lot about you guys and I would like that in the record please. Could we get that message on the record please?

The above referenced email is as follows:

Mark, Chris, and City Council,

I wanted to let you know how thankful I am for the streets department and all the work they do to keep our roads clear for our community. I know this last snowfall was a challenge, but they have done a great job with the main roads and I appreciate their effort on the secondary streets. I especially appreciate Jay Keaton who goes above and beyond his responsibilities. He constantly keeps me informed of road conditions when I have to make a decision about school delays or closings which helps me out tremendously. Although we did have to close today, Jay assured me he and his team will be out bright and early cleaning up the critical secondary roads which will allow for school to open tomorrow on time. I truly appreciate our partnership and I thought it

Thursday, January 18, 2018

was important to let you know how thankful I am for the employees you have that help us out every day.

*Thank you,
Chad*

Mr. Fullenkamp: I promised to ask this question tonight. There is a discussion on one of the local Facebook pages and they are concerned that their neighborhood is being underserved. I don't know what the rationale is. I understand you go where hills and that's for plowing and for salt. I don't know what the rules are for how long you wait or how long until the plow hits some streets. What I'm being told is some streets are not being hit in a neighborhood and they are a little upset about that because they see it as happening every place else, but not in their neighborhood. If I were to put a GPS device on each of our plow trucks or salt trucks, what would the pattern look like? Mr. Keaton: I would love to do that. We have a standard operating procedure that I created that addresses how each plat is taken care of. We also have a timeframe goal of six inches of snow and less and six inches of snow and above and the timeframe we would have to treat that where every street would be touched in some form or fashion, whether it be plowed or salted.

The way it goes right now is on our main thoroughfares, Harshman, Route 4 and 35 are hit first. Then all the other main thoroughfares are addressed, 202, 201, Community, Beatrice, Schwinn, Airway, Linden, and Burkhardt, etc. down the line. The crews then proceed to what is the more treacherous hilly areas, such as Saville, Wagon Wheel. We all have a map that I created in our shop. Each driver is assigned a route and they study that route and we develop patterns for how they address that route. Our next priority then once the mains are taken care of is we address the main treacherous hills in the plats. They would receive salt and plowing. Once we get done with those areas in the residential areas, we move on to the flatter areas in the residential on overtime depending on the situation and each storm has its own DNA. You can make a procedure, but each storm presents something different.

In the freezing rain, we don't salt any of the flat streets on overtime or plow them out on overtime unless it is above 2-4 inches. Mr. Fullenkamp: Of freezing rain? Mr. Keaton: No of snow. On the freezing rain, it was so bad people couldn't stand up in their driveways. I made the decision and my procedure is kind of nebulous to that and gives me the freedom to make a decision on the application I am going to use depending on what is happening in the storm. Mr. Fullenkamp: Most of our side streets are very slick right now because it is compressed snow and I don't expect you to go out and salt everything and I don't even know how much good it does to plow. Mr. Keaton: It does no good. The residents, once we are done dealing with the main drags what happens is we have so much residential traffic that before we can even get there, they have already packed it down. Then after the front comes through, typically what happens is the bottom drops out and it gets so cold nothing works. Then we set the plow down and tear out plows up and salt is pretty much ineffective.

Mr. Fullenkamp: We are talking a3-4 inch snows here and I don't think these are major snow events. That's my perspective, but everybody treats it like it is a major snow event. Mr. Keaton: The freezing rain, I did salt everything just simply because it was hard to stand up. Mr. Fullenkamp: But packed snow is the equivalent to ice, right? Mr. Keaton: Well, yes and no. Mr. Fullenkamp: It feels like it under my feet. Mr. Keaton: It depends on the conditions. Mr. Fullenkamp: I just had to bring it up because I promised I would and I want to be able to bring something back to them. Mr. Keaton: I want to assure Council, we have 295 streets in Riverside. I bet my wallet right now every one of them got hit. I would love to have GPS units on our trucks. I think it would be a very valuable system. Mr. Fullenkamp: Thank you. I appreciate the information.

There were no additional questions or comments.

ITEM 15: PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS:

There were no requests to speak before Council on agenda items.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

ITEM 16: UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. ORDINANCES

- I) Ordinance No. 17-O-649 approving a change in the current district boundaries on the Zoning Map of the City of Riverside, Ohio, for the property located at 740 Brantly Avenue, Parcel ID No. I39 00204 0003, reclassifying it from C-I to R-3.**

Mr. Carpenter introduced Ordinance No. 17-O-649 approving a zoning change from a C-I to R-3.

A motion was made by Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch to read Ordinance No. 17-O-649 for the second time by title only and approve its final adoption. Mr. Denning seconded the motion.

The Clerk read Ordinance No. 17-O-649 for the second time by title only.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: Will they be notified that this has been taken care of?
Ms. Arnold: It will be 30 days after today.

B. RESOLUTIONS

- I) Resolution No. 18-R-2332 appointing the City of Riverside's 2018 representatives to the Volunteer Fire Fighters' Dependents Fund (VFFDF).**

Mr. Carpenter introduced Resolution No. 18-R-2332 appointing representatives to the Volunteer Fire Fighters' Dependents Fund.

Mr. Carpenter: At the last Council meeting, I didn't have the names and I do have confirmed names from the Fire Department. Mayor Flaute: Do you want to talk about the names? Mr. Carpenter: Sure. From the Fire Department we have Kailey Delaberta, Kyle Blankenship and Anne Wood. Anne Wood was appointed to serve as the Chairperson. I believe Council had vote for Mr. Denning and then myself.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve Resolution No. 18-R-2332 and the appointments to the Volunteer Fire Fighter's Dependents Fund. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

ITEM 17: NEW BUSINESS

A. RESOLUTIONS

- I) Resolution No. 18-R-2336 requesting the State of Ohio Director of Transportation to modify the speed limits on State Route 202 (AKA Old Troy Pike) beginning at 0.05 miles north of Avondale Drive and ending at 0.33 miles north of Needmore Road and State Route 201 (AKA Brandt Pike) beginning at 0.15 miles south of Avondale Drive to the intersection of Schwinn / Texas Avenue.**

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Mr. Carpenter introduced Resolution No. 18-R-2336 requesting a modification to the speed limits on State Route 201 and 202.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve Resolution No. 18-R-2336. Ms. Fry seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

II) Resolution No. 18-R-2337 authorizing the City of Dayton to install monitoring wells in the right of way on Old Troy Pike in front of The Green Lantern Bar at 3490 Old Troy Pike, Riverside, Ohio 45404.

Mr. Carpenter introduced Resolution No. 18-R-2337 authorizing the City of Dayton to install monitoring wells in front of The Green Lantern.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve Resolution No. 18-R-2337. Ms. Campbell seconded the motion.

Mr. Denning: Is this actually in our control? I know there was an issue with someone else. Mr. Carpenter: Yes, this is in the City of Riverside. Mr. Denning: We approved it and it wasn't even ours to approve or something. Mayor Flaute: It is in our area. It said there is no fiscal impact. Mr. Carpenter: There is no fiscal impact. Mayor Flaute: Dayton is paying for all of this. Mr. Fullenkamp: Is there a reason for this test well? Is something occurring? Mr. Murray: They are precautionary. They are expanding their test wells to be further away than their existing ones, so there is nothing going on there and there is no reason for doing this other than precautionary. Mr. Carpenter: They are also removing a couple of wells. Mr. Murray: We've got an open well at the Eintracht and they are going to take that out for us as well, so they will be plugging up an open well that we have too at the same time. It is a good thing.

There was no further discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

III) Resolution No. 18-R-2338 authorizing the City Manager to enter into an agreement amending the Tax Increment Financing and Infrastructure Development Agreement entered into July 26, 2012, covering Brantwood Subdivision Phase I; and amending the Tax Increment Financing and Infrastructure Development Agreement entered into February 5, 2016, covering Brantwood Subdivision Phase II.

Mr. Carpenter introduced Resolution No. 18-R-2338 authorizing an agreement amending the TIF agreement for Brantwood Subdivision Phase I and II.

Ms. Arnold: I have a comment. The resolution number at the top, I was still apparently stuck in December because it says 2017. On the copy for the Mayor to sign this evening, it does say 2018 on it. Mr. Denning: It is 18-R. Ms. Arnold: It is. I did catch it after packets went out and so it is updated on the official legislation to be signed tonight.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve Resolution No. 18-R-2338. Ms. Fry seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

Thursday, January 18, 2018

B. ORDINANCES

I) **Ordinance No. 18-O-651 to make permanent appropriations for current expenses and other expenditures of the City of Riverside, State of Ohio, for the period beginning January 1 through December 31, 2018.**

Mr. Carpenter introduced Ordinance No. 18-O-651 making permanent appropriations for the year 2018.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve the reading Ordinance No. 18-O-651 for the first time in its entirety. Ms. Fry seconded the motion.

The Clerk read Ordinance No. 18-O-651 for the first time in its entirety.

There was no discussion on the motion.

A roll call vote was as follows: Mr. Denning, yes; Ms. Fry, yes; Ms. Campbell, yes; Mr. Curp, no; Mr. Fullenkamp, no; Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch, yes; and Mayor Flaute, yes. **Motion carried.**

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve the reading Ordinance No. 18-O-651 to suspend the rule that dictates the number of days between consecutive readings of ordinances. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

A roll call vote was as follows: Mr. Denning, yes; Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch, yes; Ms. Campbell, yes; Mr. Curp, yes; Ms. Fry, yes; Mr. Fullenkamp, yes; and Mayor Flaute, yes. **Motion carried.**

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to read Ordinance No. 18-O-651 for the second time by title only and approve its final adoption. Ms. Fry seconded the motion.

The Clerk read Ordinance No. 18-O-651 for the second time by title only.

There was no discussion on the motion.

A roll call vote was as follows: Mr. Denning, yes; Ms. Fry, yes; Ms. Campbell, yes; Mr. Curp, no; Mr. Fullenkamp, no; Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch, yes; and Mayor Flaute, yes. **Motion carried.**

II) **Ordinance No. 18-O-652 authorizing the City Manager to enter into an amendment to the agreement for electric generation supply with a competitive retail electric service provider and declaring an emergency.**

Mr. Carpenter introduced Ordinance No. 18-O-652 authorizing an amendment to the agreement for electric generation supply.

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve the reading Ordinance No. 18-O-652 for the first time in its entirety. Ms. Fry seconded the motion.

The Clerk read Ordinance No. 18-O-652 for the first time in its entirety.

Mr. Fullenkamp: Is this the plan that you sent out in an email about a month ago?
Mr. Carpenter: Yes. Mr. Fullenkamp: So did you get answers to my questions? Mr. Carpenter: No, it was worded as substantial. We would be obligated if we were to break the contract, we would be on the hook for the two years' worth of payments through 2019. This extends the contract. Mr. Fullenkamp: So there is no penalty? Mr. Carpenter: The penalty is you are still paying that contract. Mayor Flaute: The old contract. Mr. Fullenkamp: So is this a benefit to Riverside or not a benefit to

Thursday, January 18, 2018

Riverside? Mr. Carpenter: It is a benefit to Riverside. The rate is .00194 per kilowatt is the difference between what we have now and this new rate. Mr. Fullenkamp: But with the penalties, is it is net benefit or is it a cost to us? Mr. Carpenter: No. This is the better deal, if I understand your question. Mr. Fullenkamp: So we are saving money by entering into this? When we had this email exchange, you mentioned there were penalties. Mr. Carpenter: Right, that's the way it was phrased to me and that it was substantial, was the response that I received. Mr. Fullenkamp: But there are no penalties associated with them changing the terms of the agreement? I want to understand this. Mr. Carpenter: I'm trying to understand the question. You are saying if we stay in and if we do nothing?

Mr. Fullenkamp: If we stay in, do we pay any penalties? Do we pay any penalties if we agree to this? Mr. Carpenter: There are no penalties that I am aware of. I assume we pay the same rate we are currently paying, so we don't get the discount. Mr. Fullenkamp: So we will save money through the term of this contract compared to the old rate? Mr. Carpenter: Yes. Just one example, if we do not do this, we would be the only City in the current consortium that was not doing this. Also another example, Washington Township is not in the group and they are paying 10% higher than everybody in the group currently. Mr. Fullenkamp: I'm okay with disappointing them, but I am not okay with spending more on our part. As long as it is going to benefit the City, I'm okay with it. I want to make sure you all understand that. Mayor Flaute: I had the same question and we've got it.

There was no further discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to approve the reading Ordinance No. 18-O-652 to suspend the rule that dictates the number of days between consecutive readings of ordinances. Mr. Fullenkamp seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

A motion was made by Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch to read Ordinance No. 18-O-652 for the second time by title only and approve its final adoption. Mr. Denning seconded the motion.

The Clerk read Ordinance No. 18-O-652 for the second time by title only.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none opposed. **Motion carried.**

ITEM 18: PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS:

Mr. John Schnieders of Wynora requested to speak before Council thanking the Fire and Police Departments and providing an update on neighborhood watch.

Mr. Schnieders: Thank you. Good evening Council members. I wanted to thank all of the people that came to my wife's memorial. Those that were present, I appreciate those that called me on the phone or sent me a card. I thank you very much. I would also like to thank the Fire Department and the Police Department for all the help they have given me since my wife died. They had to take me to the hospital several times back in June when my car turned over on me. I broke my ankle and my foot and tore off all the skin all the way to my Achilles' heel. It was a trip, but they are always there to help and I really appreciate it.

I have some questions to ask about the streets, but the Service Department answered all of those before I could ask them. The last thing I would like to say is that I have disbanded the Riverside Ohio Neighborhood Watch because I hadn't been doing it for the 8 months I was in the nursing home. I have regenerated it and am calling it The Watch 45404. I'd like to ask you guys for forgiveness for me not telling

Thursday, January 18, 2018

you sooner, but I am back. I want to help the City in any way I can. Like I have said in the past, if you want me to sweep floors I will do it. If you want me to put signs up and ride around on my wheelchair, I will do it. I love this City. I love the people who have helped me and my wife and I love what you do for it. Thank you. Mayor Flaute: Thank you, Mr. Schnieders. We appreciate everything you have strived to do and do for our City. We thank you very much for that. Mr. Schnieders: Thank you.

Mr. Frank Smith of Byesville Boulevard requested to speak before Council regarding diesel fumes.

Mr. Smith: Good evening. I've got a question and I don't know if we can answer it tonight. Since we have had all of this cold weather, Mr. Salmons has had all of his trucks sitting over there running. The neighbors over there are tired of breathing diesel fuel. With this cold weather, the fumes are hanging low. Since we have had these real cold snaps at night, he's been leaving those trucks over there running all night long. All night long; all of them. It is getting kind of hard to breathe and it is getting kind of old. I don't know if there is anything that can be done, but there should be something that can be done. We are getting the fumes in our houses from those trucks sitting there running all night long. Mayor Flaute: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Someone will be in touch if there is something we can do or will talk to you tomorrow.

There were further comments and no additional requests to speak before Council.

ITEM 19: COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS:

Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: I have a couple of things. January 30th the Council and staff are invited to the Soir for Business After Hours at 5:30. That's a Tuesday, I think. You have to let Lynn know and make a reservation, please. The Chef needs to know how many to expect and that will be for Riverside only. Mayor Flaute: And it is free food. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: 5:30-7:30. Tuesday night is the Chamber Dinner at Cedarland. Again, respond to Lynn if you can make that event and as usual it is a \$15.00 cover. Everyone is invited, it is not closed. Then March 20th, which is a Tuesday, will be the City's Volunteer Recognition Dinner. I will put also Thursday, April 12th will be the MVRPC Dinner which is at the Marriott. I have not gotten word yet, but it always is, so put that Thursday night on your calendar and encourage as many as you can to attend that. Also, the MVMAA Golf information is right here for anybody who wants to support the Fisher houses and the USO. That golf tournament raises money to support those two efforts.

I would also like to indulge the Council in a suggestion and this is only a framework, but we need to go with it in some form or another that we might consider an Income Study Commission to start working ASAP on how we are going to find more money for the City. A framework I came up with is two Council members, four citizens from different parts of the community, two Chamber reps, one school rep and the Manager and the Treasurer. I believe that comes to 11 people, which is about all you can manage. I think we need to start somewhere and we need to start soon. We can't keep ignoring this elephant in the room. I think we need to get people that are genuinely interested in recommending to the Council the best ways for this City to look into raising some income. That's just my thoughts. Mayor Flaute: Is that direction? Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: It's not direction. I can't give direction. It's what I'm throwing out there for Council to consider and we don't have to do it tonight. We have other things to do tonight, but we do need to and all of you need to give you input to the Mayor or to someone. If this framework doesn't work, who else do you think needs to be involved? I think you can get too many people and if there is other direction, we could consider someone from the faith community.

I don't know, but we've got to go somewhere and we've got to do it soon. I don't know who needs to take this ball and run with it. Mr. Curp: I think we give it to the City Manager. Mayor Flaute: That's what I'm hearing. Mr. Carpenter: I have begun to work on that. I have had one consultant that I have spoken with. As I understand it, the plan is to review where we are at and then a plan to go so we can get things done that we can get done. We have had one interview and we have another one scheduled for next week and then I'm working on the third. The goal is to get that

Thursday, January 18, 2018

completed before the end of January. Mayor Flaute: If that is okay with Council, we will be moving forward. Any other comments from Council?

Mr. Denning: I got an email today. February 25th is the Community Church Service. This is the 3rd Annual and it will be at Stebbins High School and 6:00 p.m. This is the Community Church Service where all of the churches or the majority of the churches participate in a single service for this community and it will be at Stebbins High School at 6:00 p.m. on the 25th of February. Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch: It is just not faith leaders; it is also the City Manager and our leadership. Mr. Denning: It is a community service, but Hope4Riverside is sponsoring it.

Mayor Flaute: I just have a few things here. I have done two weddings only and I hope they start increasing some. Valentine's Day is coming and that helps. I went to a Martin Luther King ceremony out at the Base today, which was a very good welcoming ceremony. I have here the 5-year Solid Waste for Montgomery County plan. It is on a CD here, so anybody who is interested in hearing what their 5-year plan is please feel free to pick this up.

I had a First Suburbs meeting last night and many of you found this at your place tonight and then also some areas where you can get help and people can get help. There is a list of them. Mr. Denning, would you show the list there? There are a whole bunch more and I put them in the back and there are some out front. If you need any information on how you can get help on the opioid situation, those are the places to go to. That all came about last night at the First Suburbs meeting. This page here tells about the new person who is working on Project SAVE and his phone number is there for any recommendations or suggestions or any assistance you need, please feel free to contact him. There are three locations for needle exchanges, so if you know someone who needs a needle exchange, the Life Enrichment section, the local health center, and the Mount Olive Baptist Church. It is a safe place to have a needle exchange and if you know someone who needs that, please let them know. Some of the other things we talked about was House Bill 49, which is the threat to take over and collect our income taxes for us by the State. It is still hot and we aren't winning that battle yet. The small businesses in Ohio are pushing the legislature very hard to get this approved. Since we are part of the group of cities that did oppose this, there is nothing we need to do right now. If we weren't we would have to be passing legislation at this time. We talked about the AT&T cell phone legislation. It is improved, but it still has some flaws in it. Again, it looks like that is going to be passing.

The last thing is OML will be having Legislative Days in four different areas of the state. As many of you know, OML has a conference in October, but a lot of us can't go to that. They decided they are still having a conference in October, but they are also having Legislative Days in different parts of the state so that we can participate in that. I will be letting you know the dates on that and when that is going to be happening. That's all I have at this time. We do need to go into executive session to discuss the matters that are on our agenda.

There were no additional comments from Council.

ITEM 20: EXECUTIVE SESSION

- A) Personnel Matter – Section 103.01(d)(1): *Unless the City employee or official requests a public hearing; to consider the appointment, employment, dismissal, discipline, promotion, demotion or compensation of a city employee or officials or investigation of charges or complaints against a City employee or official.***

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to enter into executive session for the reasons on the agenda. Ms. Campbell seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

Thursday, January 18, 2018

A roll call vote was as follows: Mr. Denning, yes; Ms. Campbell, yes; Mr. Curp, yes; Ms. Fry, yes; Mr. Fullenkamp, yes; Deputy Mayor Lommatzsch, yes; and Mayor Flaute, yes. **Motion carried.**

Council entered into executive session at 9:01 p.m. and came out of executive session at 10:56 p.m.

ITEM 21: ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Mr. Denning to adjourn. Mr. Curp seconded the motion.

There was no discussion on the motion.

All were in favor; none were opposed. The meeting was adjourned at 10:56 p.m.

William R. Flaute, Mayor

Brenna Arnold, Clerk of Council